Energy Transition Infrastructure

A regional answer to a global issue

June 2024

For Professional Clients only.

This is a marketing communication. Please refer to the Private Placement Memorandum or Prospectus before making any final investment decisions.

Today, we and many of our customers contribute to greenhouse gas emissions. This is why HSBC Asset Management, together with other asset managers, have an important role to play in supporting the transition to a net zero economy. Step by step, we are developing strategies to reduce our own emissions and to help our customers reduce theirs. For more information visit <u>our website</u>.



Key Risks

Risk Considerations: There is no assurance that a portfolio will achieve its investment objective or will work under all market conditions. The value of investments may go down as well as up and you may not get back the amount originally invested. Portfolios may be subject to certain additional risks, which should be considered carefully along with their investment objectives and fees.

Illiquidity: An investment in alternatives is a long-term illiquid investment. By their nature, alternatives' investments will not generally be exchange traded. These investments will be illiquid.

Long term horizon: Investors should expect to be locked-in for the full term of the investment

Economic conditions: The economic cycle and prevailing interest rates will impact the attractiveness of the underlying investments. Economic activity and sentiment also impacts the performance of underlying companies and will have a direct bearing on the ability of companies to keep up with interest and principal repayments.

Valuation: These investments may have no or a limited liquid market, and other investments including those in respect of loans and securities of private companies, may be based on estimates which cannot be marked to market until sale. The valuation of the underlying investments is therefore inherently opaque.

Market risk: There is no guarantee in respect of the repayment of principal or the value of investments, and the income derived therefrom may fall as well as rise. Investors therefore may not recoup the original amount invested in the Partnership. In particular, the value of investments may be affected by political and economic news, government policy, changes in technology and business practices, changes in demographics, cultures and populations, natural or human-caused disasters, pandemics, weather and climate patterns, scientific or investigative discoveries, costs and availability of energy, commodities and natural resources. The effects of market risk can be immediate or gradual, short-term or long-term, narrow or broad.

Political and economic risks: General economic conditions may affect the activities. Changes in economic conditions, including, for example, inflation, unemployment, competition, technological developments, political events and other factors, none of which will be within the control of the General Partner or the service providers, can substantially and adversely affect the business and prospect investors. Due to the geographic scope of its activities, the strategy may be vulnerable to country or regional-specific political, macroeconomic and financial environments or circumstances.

Further information on the potential risks can be found in the Key Investor Information Document (KIID) and/or the Prospectus or Offering Memorandum.

Introduction

To arrive at any destination, one must first make steps towards it. Similarly, it is simply impossible to achieve a goal without making any effort or movement. It is also true when it comes to our climate targets – without investment and progress, they simply will not be achieved.

But much of the investment within the green energy infrastructure asset class – important to the delivery of climate targets – in our view, is targeted in the 'wrong' place or is focused on the 'wrong' assets, leaving significant gaps that need to be filled.

We believe Asia represents a significant opportunity for renewable energy investment, particularly given the outsize role coal has played (and still does in many countries) in the energy generation mix.

Scale of the challenge

If the world is to meet net zero targets, then a total of USD194tn of investment is required by 2050.¹ Put another way, to achieve net zero targets, every one dollar invested in fossil fuel energy supply will need to be matched with five dollars invested into low-carbon supply until 2050.²

The sheer scale of investment needed is great. With a significant sum required, there will need to be significant input from the private sector – private capital markets will have a major role to play.

In some countries, governments fund green energy infrastructure projects without external investors becoming involved. These projects can also be delivered by state owned enterprises, reducing private participation. In other countries, governments introduce packages with backstops for private companies, to reduce the risk around delivery of individual green energy infrastructure projects. In reality, there is no one-size-fits-all approach.

However, given the scale of the investment needed to hit net zero targets, governments will increasingly need to rely on the private sector to deliver infrastructure projects. In our view, it is here where private capital can help to fill the gap.

The world is still early on in the long-term transition towards cleaner and more sustainable sources of energy, and significant sums still need to be invested to meet climate targets.

Any forecast, projection or target when provided is indicative only and is not guaranteed in any way. Past performance does not predict future returns.

1. \$200 Trillion Is Needed to Stop Global Warming. That's a Bargain – Bloomberg, July 2023

2. Energy Transition Investment Trends 2023 | BloombergNEF (bnef.com)

New assets must be built, and emerging sectors supported

In our view, climate targets will not be achieved by existing green energy infrastructure projects alone. As such, the investment community needs to shift its focus towards the delivery of new projects. These are the projects which will deliver the transition away from fossil fuel energy sources, and many governments are introducing legislation to aid their delivery. In the 2040s, with a significant increase in investment, wind could become the dominant source of energy. By 2050, nuclear and solar are also likely to account for a greater share of energy consumption.

Energy from coal is expected to be hit first in BloombergNEF's net zero climate scenario, which also sees oil demand falling at a steeper rate than that of gas.



Fig 1: Primary energy consumption by fuel, Net Zero Scenario

Source: BloombergNEF

However, investing in the development of new projects can be a hurdle for some investors to overcome. New renewable energy generation projects, for example – so-called "greenfield development" can carry risks, such as: planning, site acquisition, permitting and construction (with exposure to contractor risk and input price volatility). Ramping up to operations or hand-over to an operator can also pose risks. Many investors, despite being familiar with risk management in many different forms, understandably, baulk at these risks – particularly if they do not fully understand them or have limited experience in managing and mitigating them.

For investors with experience in project underwriting, development and delivery, therein lies the opportunity – not just to create a larger impact towards net zero goals, but to invest in a slice of the market where there is less capital and lower competition, which in turn can mean a more favourable risk-return profile, compared to other projects.

In addition, green energy infrastructure projects have historically had lower risk of cost overruns than traditional infrastructure projects, which in our view boosts the rationale behind investments in those projects.

Report published November 2022

Fig 2: Infrastructure cost overrun and prevalence

Project type	Mean cost overrun (%)*	% of projects in tail (>50% overrun	Mean overrun of projects in tail (%)
Olympic games	157	76	200
Nuclear power	120	55	204
Defence	53	21	253
Rail	39	28	116
Ports	32	17	183
Wind power	13	7	97
Energy transmission	8	4	166
Solar power	1	2	50

Source: How Big Things Get Done, Prof. Bent Flyvbjerg & Dan Gardner, published February 2023

*Cost overrun was calculated not including inflation and baselined as late in the project cycle as possible, just before the go-ahead (final business case at final investment decision). This means the numbers in the table are conservative. If inflation had been included and early business plans used as the baseline, cost overrun would be much higher, sometimes several times higher.

Broadly speaking, energy transition infrastructure refers to the physical systems, facilities, and networks that support the shift from traditional, fossil fuel-based energy sources to cleaner and more sustainable alternatives. The purpose of this infrastructure is to enable the integration, distribution, and utilization of renewable energy sources while reducing greenhouse gas emissions and promoting a more sustainable energy system. It includes infrastructure required to stabilise and transmit the increasing amount of green energy coming onto grids (such as energy storage systems, transmission and distribution networks, electric vehicle charging stations, and smart metering), and infrastructure required to decarbonise sectors of the economy that cannot easily be electrified (such as sustainable aviation fuels and the whole hydrogen ecosystem).

These emerging classes of infrastructure within the energy transition landscape are emerging in response to increased electrification and will also require significant amounts of capital – not only during their development, but even more so to enable their roll-out at scale. In our view, private capital needs to understand the nature of these emerging sectors and how to effectively direct investment towards them.





Source: BloombergNEF, November 2022

Note: carbon capture and storage (CCS) includes investment in power sector (fossil fuel plant and CCS equipment), industry and blue hydrogen production (CCS equipment), as well as storage and transport infrastructure across all sectors

Any forecast, projection or target when provided is indicative only and is not guaranteed in any way. Past performance does not predict future returns.

Energy transition investment tops fossil fuels for the first time

During 2022, investment in the energy transition topped USD1tn – outpacing that of fossil fuel investment for the first time.³ While this is a much-needed step in the right direction, in our view, it represents a small proportion of the new investment that is needed.

This investment will be difficult to deliver. Public investment in infrastructure is significantly higher than private investment (particularly in developing economies, where public investment has been reported to represent around 83% of investment, according to the Gl Hub).⁴ This reflects not only government control, as outlined earlier, but also the lack of incentive or opportunity for private investors to deploy capital in new projects in some locations. This needs to change if targets are going to be met.

What could be the driver? The unprecedented fiscal and monetary stimulus in response to the COVID-19 pandemic saw public debt levels reach 100% of GDP in 2020, a record high.⁵ Debt levels are likely to have since declined due to growth and higher inflation, but they remain elevated and well above pre-pandemic levels. In our view, to meet growing global infrastructure needs, it is important to create stable environments for private capital into infrastructure.

Existing activity too focused on operational assets

Current private sector investment into energy transition infrastructure is heavily focused on the renewable energy sector, particularly wind and solar projects. According to the Global Infrastructure Hub's Infrastructure Monitor 2022, renewable energy attracts the largest share of private investment in infrastructure projects, accounting for almost half (48%) of all investment in 2021.⁶ But this is not enough, particularly given the bulk of investment is focused on assets that are already either in operation or very close to being so.

In a study of closed-ended infrastructure investment funds,⁷ the GI Hub found that "nearly 70% of assets in the infrastructure asset class that are being managed by private sector funds have no construction risk. This leaves banks to finance most greenfield infrastructure. Its latest Infrastructure Monitor report shows that 63% of private investment in infrastructure projects in primary markets is provided by financial institutions, mainly commercial and investment banks."

To further support this notion, if we break down global infrastructure transactions, measured by the stage of the asset or project, we can see that the bulk of investment within private markets is focused on existing assets. Projects have been largely de-risked, as construction is complete, there is little chance of cost over-run and revenues are often being generated. Revenues from operational assets can be long-term, index-linked or benefit from monopolistic positions. This makes the assets and their revenue potentially attractive. On the other hand, it can also at times make these assets highly competed for and over-valued.

Any forecast, projection or target when provided is indicative only and is not guaranteed in any way. Past performance does not predict future returns.

- 3. Global Low-Carbon Energy Technology Investment Surges Past \$1 Trillion for the First Time | BloombergNEF (bnef.com), January 2023
- 4. Global Infrastructure Hub as of April 2023.
- 5. Global Debt Reaches a Record \$226 Trillion (imf.org) , December 2021
- 6. Infrastructure Monitor (gihub.org), updated March 2023
- 7. Greenfield infrastructure struggles to attract private investment (gihub.org), June 2023



Fig 4: Number of infrastructure deals by project state, 2010 – Q3 2023

Source: Pregin, data as at October 2023



Fig 5: Annual aggregate infrastructure deal value by project stage, 2010 – Q3 2023

Source: Preqin, data as at October 2023

The data on where the majority of existing capital is deployed, coupled with the forecast net zero requirements, could represent an investment opportunity for equity investors: invest in renewables (and ancillary sectors within the energy transition) and invest in greenfield projects. This strategy combines a greater environmental impact with a better risk return outcome, given there is less competing capital.

A pure-play greenfield strategy may be a stretch too far for some investors – in particular those institutional investors looking to deploy capital in the sector. A more nuanced strategy to calibrate capital exposure across the asset lifecycle in a diversified portfolio may be the logical answer. Rather than bluntly rejecting any investments in project development, we believe investors should consider strategies that manage deployment so that capital exposure is diversified across a portfolio of "de-risked" operational assets as well as assets in development and construction.



Fig 6: Government investment in infrastructure, 2022, by sector

Source: Global Infrastructure Hub, April 2023

The Asia opportunity

In recent years, Asia has emerged as a key player in the global energy landscape, both as a major consumer and as a rapidly growing market for renewable energy.

Asia is home to some of the world's most populous and rapidly developing countries, yet the region faces significant challenges in meeting its energy demands, while minimizing greenhouse gas emissions.

We believe private market activity focuses where companies and investors can generate compelling risk-adjusted returns, or in places which are offering incentives to invest. The availability of skills and raw materials, as well as environmental conditions conducive to renewable energy sources, are also important factors.

In our view, when considering each of these factors, the opportunity in Asia stands out. The scale of investment needed in renewables is large and spread across many countries, many of which have a long-term track record of infrastructure delivery with private sector participation. This is supportive of investment activity, as risks are reduced, compared to other countries without this long-term experience or track record of investment, or compared to those without established regulatory or legal frameworks. Furthermore, many countries within Asia currently use coal as an outsized contributor to electricity generation. As mentioned earlier, we believe coal will likely be hit first amongst the fossil fuels, providing further impetus to the energy transition in Asia.

Any views expressed were held at the time of preparation and are subject to change without notice. While any forecast, projection or target where provided is indicative only and not guaranteed in any way, HSBC Asset Management accepts no liability for any failure to meet such forecast, projection or target.



Fig 7: Share of annual electricity generation by region or country

Source: BloombergNEF, as of November 2022

Demand for electricity from the APAC region has risen over the past decade and the continent now accounts for half of global generation. Mainland China alone accounts for 30% of the global power generation (up from 23% in 2012).7 Meanwhile, relative generation capacity in North America and Europe continues to decline. North America and Europe accounted for 19% each of the world's electricity production in 2021, down from 21% each in 2016. Clearly, the trajectory in Asia is very different to that in Europe and the US. As a result, in our view any energy transition investment needs to have a focus on this region.⁸

China has experienced the strongest growth in electricity generation over the period between 2012 and 2021. Over this time, electricity generation grew from 4.8TWh to 8.2TWh – equal to compound growth of 5.9% per year. In comparison, Europe saw growth of just 0.2% and North America saw growth of 0.7%. Europe and North American generation is also behind that of Asia Pacific (ex-China), which has delivered 5.5TWh of capacity.⁸

Any forecast, projection or target when provided is indicative only and is not guaranteed in any way. Past performance does not predict future returns.





Source: BloombergNEF, as of November 2022

The Asia energy transition is increasingly important given the proportion of generating capacity derived from coal-fired power plants. Global coal-fired power generation capacity has also increased by 1.1TWh in 2021, to reach 9.6TWh in 2021⁹ – meaning coal remains the dominant source of global energy generation. Mainland China and India lead in the building of new coal-fired power generating capacity. The two countries accounted for 83% of new coal additions in 2021. Mainland China alone added 62% of global coal generating capacity between 2012 and 2021. Transitioning this generation capacity to renewable energy sources is important to achieving net zero targets, not only for Asia but also globally.



Fig 9: Global annual electricity generation by technology

Source: BloombergNEF. As of November 2022

Any forecast, projection or target when provided is indicative only and is not guaranteed in any way. Past performance does not predict future returns.

9. All data from BloombergNEF, as of November 2022

Finally, the majority of the global renewables supply chain is located in Asia.¹⁰ This means Asia, in addition to replacing its existing generation capacity with renewable sources and installing new renewable generation capacity to meet growth, in our view also needs to invest in the industrial capacity to deliver the required equipment for this region and the rest-of the-world. This should provide significant ancillary investment opportunities in the region.

Investing in energy transition infrastructure in Asia offers a compelling opportunity to combat climate change effectively. By focusing on renewable energy sources such as solar, wind, and hydroelectric power, countries in Asia can reduce their reliance on fossil fuels, decrease carbon emissions, and contribute to global climate goals.

Additional benefits of investing in energy transition infrastructure

Aside from a potentially compelling risk/return proposition and environmental impact, investing in energy transition infrastructure presents economic opportunities for the host countries. Asia's transition to renewable energy has the potential to create jobs, attract investment, and stimulate growth.

In addition, investing in energy transition infrastructure provides an avenue for greater energy security and independence. The energy landscape of many Asian countries has traditionally been reliant on imported fossil fuels, making them vulnerable to price fluctuations and at the prospect of geopolitical tensions. We believe by diversifying energy sources and promoting domestic renewable energy production, countries in Asia can reduce their dependence on foreign oil and gas, bolstering their energy resilience and mitigating risks associated with supply disruptions and price volatility.

Thirdly, rapid industrialization and urbanization in many Asian countries has resulted in increased levels of air pollution, affecting public health and quality of life. Investing in clean energy infrastructure can significantly improve air quality by reducing harmful emissions. This shift not only benefits public health by reducing respiratory illnesses but also reduces healthcare costs and enhances overall well-being.¹¹

Finally, investments in energy transition infrastructure can act as catalysts for innovation and technological advancement further up the investment risk spectrum. While these traits are true for many regions, given the large reliance on imported sources of energy across the region and it being home to the majority of the global renewables supply chain, the potential benefits of a shift towards renewable energy can be amplified.

A potentially compelling opportunity

Climate change is real, and the impacts are being experienced around the world every day. The shift towards renewable sources of energy needs to accelerate in order to achieve the Paris climate commitments. But the scale of investment needed globally is significant. Governments alone cannot fill the gap and will need private investors to step in. In our view, more capital needs to be directed at developing and building new assets, not just investing in existing assets. While this is a global opportunity, we believe it also represents a major opportunity across Asia.

Investing in energy transition infrastructure can bring a range of potential benefits. These are not only in favour of investors but can have far wider positive implications. The benefits extend beyond expected returns and environmental considerations, encompassing economic growth, energy security, improved public health, and technological innovation. By channelling resources into energy transition infrastructure, Asia can lead the way in the global transition to a low-carbon economy while reaping the rewards of a cleaner, more resilient, and prosperous future.

Any forecast, projection or target when provided is indicative only and is not guaranteed in any way. Past performance does not predict future returns.

10. Can US and EU unseat China as the dominant supplier of green energy tech globally? SCMP as of August 2023 11. China: Fighting Air Pollution and Climate Change through Clean Energy Financing. World Bank as of June 2020.

Contacts



Paul Rhodes

Head of Energy Transition Infrastructure, Asia Pacific

Paul.Rhodes@hsbc.com.hk



Rowan te Kloot

Managing Principal, Energy Transition Infrastructure, Asia Pacific

rowan.tekloot@hsbc.com.sg



Andrew Wang

Principal, Energy Transition Infrastructure, Asia Pacific

andrew.h.wang@hsbc.com.sg



Important Information

For Professional Clients only and should not be distributed to or relied upon by Retail Clients.

Issued and approved in the UK by HSBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited ("AMEU"), which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. HSBC Asset Management is a group of companies in many countries and territories throughout the world that are engaged in investment advisory and fund management activities, which are ultimately owned by HSBC Holdings Plc. HSBC Asset Management is the brand name for the asset management business of the HSBC Group.

The material contained herein is for marketing purposes and is for your information only. This document is not contractually binding nor are we required to provide this to you by any legislative provision. It does not constitute legal, tax or investment advice or a recommendation to any reader of this material to buy or sell investments. You must not, therefore, rely on the content of this document when making any investment decisions. This document is not intended for distribution to or use by any person or entity in any jurisdiction or country where such distribution or use would be contrary to law or regulation. This document is not and should not be construed as an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to purchase or subscribe to any investment. The contents are confidential and may not be reproduced or further distributed to any person or entity, whether in whole or in part, for any purpose. This document is intended for discussion only and shall not be capable of creating any contractual or other legal obligations on the part of AMEU or any other HSBC Group company. This document is based on information obtained from sources believed to be reliable but which have not been independently verified. AMEU and HSBC Group accept no responsibility as to its accuracy or completeness. Care has been taken to ensure the accuracy of this presentation but AMEU accepts no responsibility for any errors or omissions contained therein.

This document and any issues or disputes arising out of or in connection with it (whether such disputes are contractual or non-contractual in nature, such as claims in tort, for breach of statute or regulation or otherwise) shall be governed by and construed in accordance with English law.

Any views expressed were held at the time of preparation and are subject to change without notice. While any forecast, projection or target where provided is indicative only and not guaranteed in any way. AMEU accepts no liability for any failure to meet such forecast, projection or target. The value of investments and any income from them can go down as well as up and investors may not get back the amount originally invested. Any performance information shown refers to the past and should not be seen as an indication of future returns.

Recipients of this communication who intend to acquire an investment in a Fund are reminded that any such acquisition may only be made on the basis of the final form of the Offering Memorandum or Prospectus, fund legal documentation, and on satisfaction of the requirements of the applicable Subscription Document. It is the responsibility of prospective investors to satisfy themselves as to full compliance with the relevant laws and regulations of any territory in connection with any application to participate in the Fund.

Detailed information for article 8 and 9 sustainable investment products, as categorised under the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR), including; description of the environmental or social characteristics or the sustainable investment objective; methodologies used to assess, measure and monitor the environmental or social characteristics and the impact of the selected sustainable investments and; objectives and benchmark information, can be found at: www.assetmanagement.hsbc.co.uk/en/intermediary/investment-expertise/sustainable-investments/sustainable-investment-product-offering

To help improve our service and in the interests of security we may record and/or monitor your communication with us. AMEU provides information to Institutions, Professional Advisers and their clients on the investment products and services of the HSBC Group.

www.assetmanagement.hsbc.com/uk

Copyright © HSBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited 2024. All rights reserved.

XB 3036/ EXP 28/2/26

