Multi-Asset Insights

Diversification Revived

July 2025 For professional investors only



Table of contents

Foreword	3
In a nutshell	4
A turning tide in global equity leadership	5
Unpacking the pulse of foreign flows into EM Asia	13

This commentary provides a high-level overview of the recent economic environment and is for information purposes only. It is a marketing communication and does not constitute investment advice or a recommendation to any reader of this content to buy or sell investments nor should it be regarded as investment research. It has not been prepared in accordance with legal requirements designed to promote the independence of investment research and is not subject to any prohibition on dealing ahead of its dissemination. The views expressed above were held at the time of preparation and are subject to change without notice. Any forecast, projection or target where provided is indicative only and not guaranteed in any way. HSBC Asset Management accepts no liability for any failure to meet such forecast, projection or target.

Foreword



The waning of US exceptionalism signals not an end, but a pause – a transitional phase that calls for greater granularity in allocations and a deeper exploration of markets where resilience and opportunity converge.

Welcome to the latest edition of our Multi-Asset Insights series, where we share the key findings from our quarterly Strategic Forum.

This edition comes at a pivotal moment, as the long-held dominance of US equities faces mounting headwinds, while markets in the rest of the world are gaining traction.

In light of these developments, we dedicate the first section of this edition to examining the challenges to the era of "US exceptionalism." For more than a decade, US equities have benefited from strong fiscal support, technological leadership, and substantial global capital inflows – culminating in their commanding ~70% weight in the MSCI World Index. However, this dominance is now being tested by stretched valuations, fiscal constraints, and shifting geopolitical dynamics. Recent market corrections, such as the April 2025 sell-off, have underscored these vulnerabilities. As global investors revisit their allocation strategies, regions like Europe and Asia are emerging as credible contenders for equity leadership.

In the second section of this publication, we turn our focus to Asia, analysing the evolution of foreign portfolio flows into emerging markets across the region. While these flows were once predominantly driven by global liquidity cycles, they are now increasingly shaped by domestic fundamentals such as attractive valuations, earnings growth, and supportive policy frameworks. Recent data also highlights the region's resilience to global shocks, with India standing out for its robust inflows following Liberation Day. This shift underscores the maturing investor perspective and the growing appeal of Asia's markets as sources of diversification and defensiveness.

As we navigate these transformative shifts in global markets, we hope the insights shared in this edition will inform your investment strategies and support your decision-making in the months ahead.

Diversification does not ensure a profit or protect against loss. The views expressed above were held at the time of preparation and are subject to change without notice. For informational purposes only and should not be construed as a recommendation to invest in the specific country, product, strategy, sector or security. Any forecast, projection or target where provided is indicative only and not guaranteed in any way. HSBC Asset Management accepts no liability for any failure to meet such forecast, projection or target.



Jean Charles Bertrand Global CIO, Multi-Asset HSBC Asset Management

Read Jean Charles' featured interview in the Journal of Portfolio Management





In a nutshell

A turning tide in global equity leadership

- The dominance of US equities, long bolstered by technological leadership and fiscal stimulus, is now being challenged by stretched valuations and shifting global economic dynamics.
- While US equities remain a cornerstone of global portfolios, the emergence of a multipolar investment landscape highlights the importance of exploring opportunities beyond the US.
- As a result, European equities and emerging markets are gaining traction. With attractive valuations and supportive policy shifts, these regions present compelling opportunities for diversification, potentially prompting a reassessment of asset allocations.

Unpacking the pulse of foreign flows into EM Asia

- Emerging Asia is increasingly attracting foreign portfolio investments, driven by its evolving financial infrastructure, favorable demographic trends, and resilience to global economic shocks.
- Equity flows in the region have shifted over time, with India and ASEAN markets demonstrating greater resilience during global crises compared to export-driven economies like South Korea and Taiwan.
- Debt flows, on the other hand, have been more stable than equity flows, with ASEAN countries and China emerging as key recipients thanks to favorable policies and supportive market structures.
- While global factors such as the strength of the US dollar remain significant drivers of these flows, domestic fundamentals like valuations and earnings growth are playing an increasingly important role.

Diversification does not ensure a profit or protect against loss. The views expressed above were held at the time of preparation and are subject to change without notice. For informational purposes only and should not be construed as a recommendation to invest in the specific country, product, strategy, sector or security. Any forecast, projection or target where provided is indicative only and not guaranteed in any way. HSBC Asset Management accepts no liability for any failure to meet such forecast, projection or target.

For more

Investment Insights



Follow us on in



A turning tide in global equity leadership



For over a decade, US equities have enjoyed undisputed dominance. However, we are now witnessing a sustained period in which the rest of the world is outperforming, emerging as a credible contender for market leadership.

The era of 'US exceptionalism' has been sustained by a unique combination of factors, chief among them a robust post-crisis recovery, unmatched technology leadership, deep and liquid markets, and a generous fiscal policy regime. Perhaps most importantly, foreign enthusiasm for US equities defined the period post-2008 Global Financial Crisis (GFC) — central banks buying treasuries, institutional investors favouring the S&P 500, and retail enthusiasm for American growth stories, particularly in tech. This trend accelerated after 2018 and remained resilient even post-COVID, driven by global capital seeking a haven in the US growth and tech narrative. At the same time, the US has maintained a large current account deficit, effectively necessitating a persistent flow of foreign capital to fund consumption and fiscal spending.

Market's inertia and faith in the resilience of the US corporate machine is underscored by the US net international investment position data showing a sharp rise in foreign holdings of both US bonds and equities. The continued increase in US equity stakes resulted in foreign ownership tripling in just the last three decades and doubling over the last two decades. Yet, this trend also reflects a potentially saturated allocation.



Figure 1: US net international investment position (\$bn)





Philippe Declerck Multi-Asset Fund Manager and Head of Multi-Asset Research (France)

US equities now makes up approximately 70% of the MSCI World Index, up from around 45–50% twenty years ago. This dramatic expansion was driven by a steady influx of foreign capital. However, maintaining this dominance and sustaining valuation levels requires disproportionately large capital flows: for every dollar allocated to global equities, 70 cents must flow to the US. The economically significant share of inflows creates a systemic vulnerability to even minor reallocations, making this weighting no longer just a preference but a necessity for index-aligned global portfolios.







Source: HSBC AM, Bloomberg. Data as of May 2025.

Figure 2: Share of MSCI World (USD)

Furthermore, from a regional perspective, European investors have increased their share of US equity ownership from the low 40% range to nearly 48%, while Asian investors have held relatively steady around 23–24%. Canada and the Caribbean also held notable stakes, though the latter is primarily attributed to hedge fund structures. Despite minor reallocations after major policy events like Liberation Day, the long-term allocations remain deeply entrenched – suggesting any unwinding would be slow and potentially disruptive.

Valuations and divergence: The case for reversal

Historically, allocating to US equities has paid off handsomely. Whether measured in local currency or foreign exchange terms, the S&P 500's consistent outperformance since the early 2010s made underweights to the US difficult to justify.



Past performance is no guarantee of future returns. Source: HSBC AM, Bloomberg, MSCI. Data as of May 2025.

However, valuation spread now looks stretched, especially given the evolving fiscal and policy landscape. At the peak post-Trump election, the forward price-to-earnings (P/E) ratio for the S&P 500 was over 22, compared to 14-15 for the rest of the world. While some of this premium can be explained by superior earnings performance, particularly by the 'Magnificent Seven' US tech giants, it has also been fuelled by extraordinary fiscal support and government spending.



Past performance is no guarantee of future returns. Source: HSBC AM, Bloomberg. Data as of May 2025.

The US fiscal response post-Covid dwarfed that of most other nations, helping to justify investor optimism. Looking ahead, though, sustaining this level of fiscal largesse is increasingly unlikely. This leads to the expectations of a decline in year-on-year state-level spending in 2025 – a development not seen since the GFC.

Based on our estimates, if the valuation premium compresses in a difficult economic environment, the implications are substantial. Even without a change in earnings expectations, US equities would need to correct by approximately 15% to normalise relative valuations. This aligns eerily with the April 2025 sell-off that followed the Liberation Day.

US		Forward EPS											
		-25%	-20.0%	-15.0%	-10.0%	-5.0%	0.0%	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	20.0%	25.0%	
	14.5	-47%	-43%	-40%	-36%	-33%	-29%	-26%	-22%	-19%	-15%	-12%	
	15.5	-43%	-40%	-36%	-32%	-28%	-24%	-21%	-17%	-13%	-9%	-6%	
	16.5	-40%	-36%	-32%	-28%	-24%	-20%	-16%	-11%	-7%	-3%	1%	
	17.5	-36%	-32%	-28%	-23%	-19%	-15%	-10%	-6%	-2%	2%	7%	
	18.5	-32%	-28%	-23%	-19%	-14%	-10%	-5%	-1%	4%	8%	13%	
P/E	19.5	-29%	-24%	-19%	-14%	-10%	-5%	0%	5%	9%	14%	19%	
Forward	20.5	-25%	-20%	-15%	-10%	-5%	0%	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	
Por	21.5	-21%	-16%	-11%	-6%	0%	5%	10%	15%	21%	26%	31%	
	22.5	-18%	-12%	-7%	-1%	4%	10%	15%	21%	26%	32%	37%	
	23.5	-14%	-8%	-3%	3%	9%	15%	20%	26%	32%	38%	43%	
	24.5	-10%	-4%	2%	8%	14%	20%	26%	31%	37%	43%	49%	
	25.5	-7%	0%	6%	12%	18%	24%	31%	37%	43%	49%	56%	
	26.5	-3%	3%	10%	16%	23%	29%	36%	42%	49%	55%	62%	

Figure 7a: Estimated impact of EPS and P/E forecasts on equity market performance

Source: HSBC AM, Bloomberg. Data as of May 2025.

World ex US		Forward EPS													
		-25%	-20.0%	-15.0%	-10.0%	-5.0%	0.0%	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	20.0%	25.0%			
	8.8	-55%	-53%	-50%	-47%	-44%	-41%	-38%	-35%	-32%	-29%	-26%			
	9.8	-50%	-47%	-44%	-40%	-37%	-34%	-31%	-27%	-24%	-21%	-17%			
	10.8	-45%	-42%	-38%	-34%	-31%	-27%	-23%	-20%	-16%	-13%	-9%			
	11.8	-40%	-36%	-32%	-28%	-24%	-20%	-16%	-12%	-8%	-4%	0%			
	12.8	-35%	-31%	-27%	-22%	-18%	-14%	-9%	-5%	-1%	4%	8%			
P/E	13.8	-30%	-25%	-21%	-16%	-11%	-7%	-2%	3%	7%	12%	17%			
Forward	14.8	-25%	-20%	-15%	-10%	-5%	0%	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%			
Forv	15.8	-20%	-15%	-9%	-4%	1%	7%	12%	17%	23%	28%	33%			
	16.8	-15%	-9%	-3%	2%	8%	14%	19%	25%	31%	36%	42%			
	17.8	-10%	-4%	2%	8%	14%	20%	26%	32%	38%	44%	50%			
	18.8	-5%	2%	8%	14%	21%	27%	33%	40%	46%	53%	59%			
	19.8	0%	7%	14%	20%	27%	34%	41%	47%	54%	61%	67%			
	20.8	5%	13%	20%	27%	34%	41%	48%	55%	62%	69%	76%			

Figure 7b: Estimated impact of EPS and P/E forecasts on equity market performance

Source: HSBC AM, Bloomberg. Data as of May 2025.

It is essential to consider whether such adjustments mark the beginning of a structural rebalancing or merely a temporary aberration in an otherwise US-centric investment universe. While EPS resilience has historically justified US premiums, even modest re-ratings could create meaningful headwinds. Markets, as always, don't necessarily react to absolutes but to marginal changes. A small shift in allocations – from 70% US exposure in the MSCI World to even 67% – could be enough to tip the scales.

Fiscal sustainability and the changing policy landscape

A central pillar of US exceptionalism has been large-scale fiscal spending. After the 2008 GFC and more recently during the COVID-19 pandemic, U.S. government spending surged – far exceeding the fiscal response in most other economies. This stimulus helped support both consumption and corporate profitability, directly contributing to the market's sustained growth. Currently, however, this model appears increasingly unsustainable. As of early 2025, the US federal deficit remains near 7% of GDP – a level rarely seen outside crisis periods. Cumulative fiscal deficit data through March suggests that 2025 could end up being the third-highest deficit year in history.

Figure 8: Cumulative deficits as a share of GDP since 2020 (%)



Figure 9: Cumulative US federal deficit by fiscal years (\$bn)



Source: HSBC AM, Bloomberg. Data as of May 2025.

While this still lends temporary support to equities, it raises concerns about long-term sustainability and fiscal discipline. At the state level, spending is now forecast to decline on a year-over-year basis in 2025 – an uncommon development since the GFC. This decline implies a reduction in public sector demand, which may weigh on private sector earnings and economic activity.

Meanwhile, fiscal policy in regions like the Eurozone is beginning to shift positively. Germany, for example, is moving toward a more balanced budget outlook, potentially creating a supportive backdrop for European equities. If other economies follow Germany's lead, fiscal support outside the US could become a competitive advantage, rather than a disadvantage.

Another pivotal shift is the perceived end of the post-World War II global trade compact centred around US-led globalisation. The Liberation Day tariffs serve as a symbolic and economic pivot, challenging the assumption that the US will remain the world's demand engine indefinitely. If other countries begin to invest aggressively in domestic resilience and defence - as seems increasingly likely - this could tilt the fiscal and economic momentum in favour of Rest of the World (RoW) equities.

The role of tariffs and global realignments

Another factor now reshaping investor preferences is the resurgence of US protectionism. The effective tariff rate has climbed to nearly 3% in 2025 – the highest in decades. Customs tax collections have surged since the Liberation Day policy announcements, marking a real, if delayed, economic impact from these measures.



Figure 10: US effective tariff rate (%)

Source: HSBC AM, Bloomberg. Data as of May 2025.

While tariffs are aimed at supporting domestic manufacturing, they seem to act as a tax on consumption and investment, effectively withdrawing liquidity from the domestic economy. Over time, this could erode corporate margins and consumer purchasing power, particularly if retaliatory measures impact export demand. Importantly, the modern global economy is becoming less dependent on manufacturing. Today, services account for most value creation - over 55% in China and as much as 75% in developed economies. Still, the indirect effects of protectionism can permeate services through inflationary pressures and capital allocation disruptions.

Ironically, the country most vulnerable to protectionist fallout could be the US itself. While the headline economic impact may be delayed, market sentiment can shift quickly once the ramifications become clearer.

Market shifts in the rest of the world

Globally, the Liberation Day shock introduced unexpected dynamics in both equity and currency markets. The US dollar, for instance, has shown relative weakness even as US equities rebound – an unusual divergence that merits close attention. The euro, in particular, has exhibited safe-haven characteristics, rallying during equity sell-offs and maintaining strength even as risk assets recover. This behaviour is far from usual, at least when compared to the past 15 years record where euro has rallied against cyclical currencies like Australian and Canadian dollar but not against the US dollar.

This change is even more pronounced when examining intraday currency behaviour. Post-Liberation Day, the yen and euro have moved substantially during Tokyo trading hours, suggesting shifting investor sentiment in Asia. The dollar's weakness during these hours indicates a possible re-evaluation of US assets during non-US trading windows – a stark contrast to the historical pattern where S&P 500 movements led global investor sentiment.



Figure 12: Cumulative EUR moves when S&P 500 is down 1% or more Figure 13: Cumulative moves of the EUR/USD in 2025 split by hours

Equity trading patterns offer further evidence of shifting tides. The S&P 500 has historically made the most moves during non-US trading hours, with US hours being relatively subdued. However, post-Liberation Day, the reverse has occurred: the index has sold off during Asian and European hours while holding up during US sessions. This suggests growing hesitance among foreign investors, even as domestic investors continue to buy dips – a behavioural divergence that could indicate the limits of US retail-driven resilience.

Source: HSBC AM, Bloomberg. Data as of May 2025.

Figure 14: Cumulative returns of SPY split by hours

Figure 15: Cumulative returns of SPY in 2025 split by hours



Source: HSBC AM, Bloomberg. Data as of May 2025.

Supporting this hypothesis is ETF flow data. While flows into US equity ETFs have slowed, they remain positive - indicating sustained, if cautious, domestic support. More striking, however, is the surge in flows into European equity ETFs. This is the strongest cumulative inflow into Europefocused funds seen in at least five years. Although it is too early to declare a lasting trend, it may represent the early stages of a global reallocation.



Investors may be rethinking the 'US-only' mindset that has dominated since the GFC. The question now is whether post-Trump rally levels mark a cyclical peak for US equities. Will tariffs and fiscal headwinds serve as a 'wall of worry' that markets overcome, or are they harbingers of a more sustained shift in leadership?

Source: HSBC AM, Bloomberg. Data as of May 2025.

Emergence of a multipolar investment landscape

The case for US equity outperformance has been compelling for years, supported by tech leadership, fiscal spending, and global capital inflows. But the conditions that supported this exceptionalism are showing signs of strain – fiscal constraints, valuation excess, and emerging geopolitical shifts are all contributing to a more balanced investment landscape.

This is not a call to abandon US equities altogether. Rather, it is a recognition that US dominance may be entering a pause or adjustment phase. For instance, US technology companies continue to benefit from scale, platform economics, and innovation in Al and cloud infrastructure. Yet, there may be new alternatives that could be worth exploring.

Moreover, the strength of many cloud platforms is contingent on the health of small-tomedium enterprises that use their services. A downturn in US economic activity could reduce demand, even for dominant technology firms. Thus, while the US still leads in innovation, the durability of earnings across the sector could be tested in the next phase of the cycle.

This creates a strategic opportunity for investors to diversify, explore undervalued markets in Europe and Asia, and rebalance global equity exposure. The world outside the US is showing a more balanced fiscal discipline, more attractive valuations, and increasingly assertive policy responses. As the cycle matures, re-engaging with RoW equities may offer not only diversification but also performance upside. Eventually, should valuations normalise and macro risks recede, the US could once again become a prime destination for capital.

For now, however, the Liberation Day shock may have served as a wake-up call, shaking investors out of complacency and prompting reconsideration of allocation strategies. Whether the momentum appears to favour a broader and more global approach to equity investing will depend on the interplay of policy responses, economic resilience, and investor psychology. But one thing is clear: the rest of the world is no longer just a laggard in the shadow of US exceptionalism. It is emerging as a credible contender for leadership in the next chapter of global markets.



Unpacking the pulse of foreign flows into EM Asia



Foreign portfolio flows in Asia, once driven solely by global cycles, now reflect domestic fundamentals like valuation, earnings, and interest differentials, highlighting a maturing investor perspective.

As detailed in the previous section, the long arc of US exceptionalism has begun to bend, driven by structural deficits, policy-driven protectionism, and stretched valuations, which are catalysing a rotation in equity market leadership. This rotation is not just driven by relative valuations, but by macroeconomic realignments and geopolitical recalibration. Amid this backdrop, emerging Asia presents a compelling case for renewed scrutiny. Asia's capital markets offer a litmus test for investor behaviour in a world where the US is no longer the default destination. A vital indicator to understand the investor sentiment, market's structural maturity, and economic integration is the foreign portfolio investments (FPI) into equity and debt markets (referred to as 'Portfolio Equity' and 'Portfolio Debt').

FPI, unlike foreign direct investment (FDI), are highly responsive to short-term global dynamics. They reflect risk perception, return differentials, and policy signalling. While these flows tend to be more volatile than FDI, they form an essential component of the capital account in a country's balance of payments and offer insights into a market's structural maturity.

Over the past 25 years, cumulative FPI activity has provided not only liquidity to these markets but also reveal patterns of both resilience and vulnerability to global economic forces. A closer analysis shows the contribution of global volatility, domestic fundamentals, and policy divergence to shaping capital movements in this dynamic region.



Note: China data only begins in November 2014. Source: Institute of International Finance, May 2025.



Yuanfang Ma Portfolio Manager, Multi-Asset



Guang Yang Lim Senior Manager, Asset Allocation





Portfolio Equity flows: Regime-based trends

From 2000 to 2024, foreign portfolio equity flows into emerging Asia have shown distinct trends and regime shifts. Early in the 2000s, Taiwan and South Korea emerged as dominant recipients due to their rapid recovery engendered by tech-driven export booms following the Asian financial crisis. Their advanced industrial bases, particularly in semiconductors and electronics, attracted significant foreign investment.





Note: China data only begins in November 2014. Source: Institute of International Finance, May 2025.

Between 2010 and 2015, India emerged as the new frontier, as it grew to match and eventually exceed the GDP of Taiwan and South Korea combined, attracting substantial foreign interest during the post-Global Financial Crisis low-interest rate environment. Foreign investors increased allocations to Indian equities, encouraged by its expanding domestic consumption base and market liberalisation.

The period post-2016 saw China become a key player following the launch of its Stock Connect program. Despite geopolitical tensions, including the US-China trade dispute, inflows into China remained resilient, likely due to the structural opening of its capital markets.

However, from 2023 to 2024, a slowdown became evident across the region. While India remained relatively attractive receiving moderate inflows, investor enthusiasm for China waned after a brief post-pandemic resurgence due to unfulfilled reopening expectations. Heightened uncertainty over US monetary policy and trade tariffs, also contributed to this region-wide cooling of sentiment.





Note: China data only begins in January 2015. Institute of International Finance, May 2025.

When these equity flows are analysed during times of global crisis – particularly the 2007-2009 Great Financial Crisis and the 2020-2022 Covid pandemic – a stark difference in country responses is revealed. Export-driven economies like South Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand saw sharp outflows, especially during periods when their liquid markets made it easier for investors to withdraw. In contrast, India, Indonesia, and the Philippines demonstrated greater resilience during this time. With more domestically-driven growth models and less financial market openness, these economies provided a buffer against global risk-off sentiment.



Figure 2c: Portfolio Equity net flows per market

Note: China data only begins in January 2015. Institute of International Finance, May 2025.

During the pandemic, interestingly, China showed stronger resilience due in part to the domestic tilt of its A-share market and its relatively effective pandemic containment measures. The role of domestic investors and government intervention further supported price stability. Taiwan, which is heavily tied to global tech, suffered large outflows in 2022 when tech valuations fell.

These observations support the notion that countries with domestic consumption-oriented economies, like India and Indonesia, fare better during external shocks.

Portfolio Debt flows: More stability, different leaders

Compared to equity, portfolio debt flows displayed greater stability and a different pattern of regional distribution. In the early 2000s, South Korea was a major recipient due to its prolific bond issuance and integration with global financial markets. The ASEAN bloc – particularly Thailand, Indonesia, and Malaysia – followed, drawing substantial interest because of their favourable bond market structures and foreign-friendly investment policies.

Figure 3a: Portfolio Debt net flows per market (USD billions)



Note: China data only begins in January 2015. Institute of International Finance, May 2025.

China's bond market, on the other hand, emerged as a dominant force only after the 2017 launch of Bond Connect and inclusion in global bond indices by Bloomberg (2019), JP Morgan (2020), and FTSE (2021). However, in 2022 as global rates rose, countries like China and Indonesia maintained relatively loose monetary policy which led to relative yield erosion and outflows. Later in the year, though, Indonesia hiked rates to align with global tightening cycles, thus reversing the trend to see inflows return.

Figure 3b: Portfolio Debt net flows per market



Note: China data only begins in January 2015. Institute of International Finance, May 2025.

Over the entire period, cumulative debt flows have been almost twice that of equity flows, underscoring their more 'sticky' nature. Yet they remain susceptible to macroeconomic shocks, particularly policy divergence.

Determinants of Portfolio Equity flows: Global and domestic factors

Using a panel data regression model, our research team explored how global and domestic factors influence equity portfolio flows over the last 10 years. The selected global indicators encompassed:

- U.S. GDP and industrial production (business cycle indicators)
- Real effective exchange rates (REER) for USD, EUR, and JPY (trade competitiveness)
- Interest rate and yield curve differentials across major economies (monetary policy stance)
- M2 money supply (liquidity)
- VIX index (risk appetite proxy)

We have run our model on four groups:

- ASEAN 4 (Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, and Thailand): these countries display solid bond flow correlations due to consistent foreign participation and policy frameworks conducive to foreign bond ownership.
- Korea and Taiwan: their equity flows are strongly correlated since both exhibit similar economic structures and market openness.
- China and India: flows in these countries are poorly correlated, reflecting their differing economic identities i.e. China being export-oriented manufacturing driven versus India led by domestic consumption and services. Accordingly, these two countries have been analysed separately in our model.

Global factors	Korea an	Korea and Taiwan		AN - 4		India			China		
	coeff	p-value	coeff	p-value		coeff	p-value		coeff	p-value	
intercept	41.2*	2%	-0.3	92%		85.5*	0%		112.9*	3%	
US GDP	0.3	7%	0.1*	0%		-0.3	23%		-0.4	45%	
US Industrial Production	0.0	77%	0.0	67%		0.0	71%		0.1	58%	
US REER	-0.2*	1%	0.0	63%		-0.4*	0%		-0.5*	3%	
EU REER	-0.3*	2%	0.0	72%		-0.3*	3%		-0.4	18%	
JP REER	0.1	11%	0.0	47%		-0.1	33%		-0.1	61%	
US 2Y Yield	0.4	73%	0.6*	0%		-1.8	31%		0.4	92%	
US Real Rate	0.5	67%	-0.7*	0%		2.7	9%		1.9	59%	
US M2	0.1	10%	0.0	24%		0.3*	0%		0.5*	2%	
US Yield Curve	0.0	99%	0.8*	0%		-5.0	5%		-3.3	56%	
EU Yield Curve	-3.5*	0%	-0.4*	0%		-2.0	11%		-2.3	43%	
Japan Yield Curve	0.8	79%	-0.6	14%		-1.9	65%		-10.6	26%	
VIX Index	-0.2*	0%	0.0*	0%		-0.2*	0%		-0.2	16%	
R square	33	33%		18%		37%			34%		

Figure 4a: Global factors

* Statistically significant at 5% (p-value <5%). Source: HSBC AM, May 2025.

Unsurprisingly, the VIX index emerged as a dominant factor with a negative impact – higher volatility leads to risk aversion and capital flight. The US REER was also having substantial impact, with a weaker dollar boosting flows into EM Asia.

Furthermore, China's onshore equity market was a notable outlier, showing minimal sensitivity to global risk factors. This is likely due to its low foreign equity holdings and supportive domestic policy actions, including government-backed purchases.

Beyond global indicators, domestic factors such as forward-looking PE ratios, EPS growth, local equity returns, and interest rate differentials with the US also played major roles. The PE ratio was a common significant driver across regions, suggesting that valuation rerating attracts foreign capital. EPS growth was another key attractor of equity flows, particularly in India, Taiwan, and South Korea. Lastly, higher interest rate differentials were favourable for flows into ASEAN and North Asian debt markets.

Korea and Taiwan			ASEAN – 4			India			China		
Factors	coeff	p-value	Factors	coeff	p-value	Factors	coeff	p-value	Factors	coeff	p-value
intercept	26.0*	0.1%	intercept	-0.6*	0.1%	intercept	28.2	18.7%	intercept	20.3	24.3%
VIX Index	-0.2*	0.0%	VIX Index	0.0*	0.1%	VIX Index	0.0	64.5%	US M2	0.1	26.8%
US GDP	0.2*	3.5%	US GDP	0.1*	0.0%	US GDP	1.3*	4.0%	US REER	-0.2	28.7%
US REER	-0.1*	3.3%	US 2Y Yield	0.3*	0.0%	US 2Y Yield	0.2*	4.9%			
EU REER	-0.1*	3.6%	US real rate	-0.4*	0.0%	US real rate	-0.2	9.7%			
EU Yield Curve	-1.4*	0.2%	US Yield Curve Slope	0.4*	0.1%	US Yield Curve Slope	-0.1	26.6%			
			EU Yield Curve Slope	-0.2*	2.9%	EU Yield Curve Slope	-2.1*	0.5%			
fwd PE re-rating	30.0*	0.0%	fwd PE re-rating	3.5*	0.0%	fwd PE re-rating	35.6*	0.0%	fwd PE re-rating	62.0*	0.0%
fwd EPS growth	21.6*	0.1%	fwd EPS growth	5.5*	0.0%	fwd EPS growth	66.4*	0.0%	fwd EPS growth	32.0	42.0%
Return vs. US	10.3*	3.3%	Return vs. US	0.4	27.9%	Return vs. US	-3.6	61.0%	Return vs. US	-18.4	11.1%
Interest Rate diff	0.4*	0.2%	Interest Rate diff	0.0*	0.0%	Interest Rate diff	0.7	22.6%	Interest Rate diff	-0.1	91.6%
R square	5	7%	R square	2	7%	R square	46	6%	R square	44%	

* Statistically significant at 5% (p-value <5%). Source: HSBC AM, May 2025.

Liberation Day and post-shock market behaviour

Figure 4b: Local factors

The events post-Liberation Day witnessed a spike in the VIX. This resulted in substantial outflows from North Asian markets like South Korea and Taiwan, which are highly sensitive to both equity returns and global volatility.

Following a 90-day pause in tariff implementation, markets began to stabilise. By late April 2025, flows into Taiwan, Korea, and ASEAN had reversed course, turning positive. India, in particular, emerged as a standout with quick recovery and inflows resuming from mid-April, highlighting its robustness in navigating global disruptions. This shift in flows highlight that the investor behaviour is not only reactive but also quickly responsive to policy moderation or easing of uncertainties.

Figure 5: Portfolio Equity flows and market performance



Source: HSBC AM, Bloomberg. Data as of May 2025.

Implications for investors and policymakers

This comprehensive 25-year review of foreign portfolio flows into emerging Asia offers several critical insights. From crisis-driven outflows to stimulus-fuelled surges and recent realignments amid policy shocks, the region continues to evolve in how it absorbs and responds to global capital. Foreign portfolio flows, once dictated by global cycles alone, are now influenced by domestic fundamentals – valuation, earnings, and interest differentials – which suggests maturing investor perspectives cannot be overstated.

While global risk sentiment and US dollar movements remain key triggers, Asia's capital markets offer more than diversification – they offer dynamism, differentiation, and increasingly, defensiveness. Resilience to shocks appears to be strengthening, especially in markets that have developed credible policy frameworks and domestic investor bases. China, for instance, has relative insensitivity to global risk reflecting both policy control and low foreign participation. India and ASEAN also stand out as examples of this evolution, showing that capital, once flighty, is now rewarding structural strength. North Asia including South Korea and Taiwan, while still vulnerable to global shocks, retains strategic importance via tech and trade.

Ultimately, this evolution underscores the importance of balancing openness with safeguards, and global integration with domestic strength. As emerging Asia matures, both the patterns and implications of portfolio flows into the region will be essential for navigating this new equilibrium.



Important information

For Professional Clients and intermediaries within countries and territories set out below; and for Institutional Investors and Financial Advisors in the US. This document should not be distributed to or relied upon by Retail clients/investors.

The value of investments and the income from them can go down as well as up and investors may not get back the amount originally invested. The performance figures contained in this document relate to past performance, which should not be seen as an indication of future returns. Future returns will depend, inter alia, on market conditions, investment manager's skill, risk level and fees. Where overseas investments are held the rate of currency exchange may cause the value of such investments to go down as well as up. Investments in emerging markets are by their nature higher risk and potentially more volatile than those inherent in some established markets. Economies in Emerging Markets generally are heavily dependent upon international trade and, accordingly, have been and may continue to be affected adversely by trade barriers, exchange controls, managed adjustments in relative currency values and other protectionist measures imposed or negotiated by the countries and territories with which they trade. These economies also have been and may continue to be affected adversely by economic conditions in the countries and territories in which they trade.

For illustrative purpose only, this document is a global view of the recent evolution of the economic conditions. This is a marketing support which does not constitute neither an investment advice or a recommendation to buy or sell investment. This commentary is not the result of investment research and is not subject to legal requirements designed to promote the independence of investment research and is not subject to any prohibition on dealing ahead of its dissemination.

The contents of this document may not be reproduced or further distributed to any person or entity, whether in whole or in part, for any purpose. All non-authorised reproduction or use of this document will be the responsibility of the user and may lead to legal proceedings. The material contained in this document is for general information purposes only and does not constitute advice or a recommendation to buy or sell investments. Some of the statements contained in this document may be considered forward looking statements which provide current expectations or forecasts of future events. Such forward looking statements are not guarantees of future performance or events and involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those described in such forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. We do not undertake any obligation to update the forward-looking statements contained herein, or to update the reasons why actual results could differ from those projected in the forward-looking statements. This document has no contractual value and is not by any means intended as a solicitation, nor a recommendation for the purchase or sale of any financial instrument in any jurisdiction in which such an offer is not lawful. The views and opinions expressed herein are those of HSBC Asset Management at the time of preparation, and are subject to change at any time. These views may not necessarily indicate current portfolios' composition. Individual portfolios managed by HSBC Asset Management primarily reflect individual clients' objectives, risk preferences, time horizon, and market liquidity. Foreign and emerging markets. Investments in foreign markets involve risks such as currency rate fluctuations, potential differences in accounting and taxation policies, as well as possible political, economic, and market risks. These risks are heightened for investments in emerging markets which are also subject to greater illiquidity and volatility than developed foreign markets. This commentary is for information purposes only. It is a marketing communication and does not constitute investment advice or a recommendation to any reader of this content to buy or sell investments nor should it be regarded as investment research. It has not been prepared in accordance with legal requirements designed to promote the independence of investment research and is not subject to any prohibition on dealing ahead of its dissemination. This document is not contractually binding nor are we required to provide this to you by any legislative provision.

All data from HSBC Asset Management unless otherwise specified. Any third party information has been obtained from sources we believe to be reliable, but which we have not independently verified.

HSBC Asset Management is the brand name for the asset management business of HSBC Group, which includes the investment activities that may be provided through our local regulated entities. HSBC Asset Management is a group of companies in many countries and territories throughout the world that are engaged in investment advisory and fund management activities, which are ultimately owned by HSBC Holdings Plc. (HSBC Group). The above communication is distributed by the following entities:

- In Australia, this document is issued by HSBC Bank Australia Limited ABN 48 006 434 162, AFSL 232595, for HSBC Global Asset Management (Hong Kong) Limited ARBN 132 834 149 and HSBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited ARBN 633 929 718. This document is for institutional investors only, and is not available for distribution to retail clients (as defined under the Corporations Act). HSBC Global Asset Management (Hong Kong) Limited and HSBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited are exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services license under the Corporations Act in respect of the financial services they provide. HSBC Global Asset Management (Hong Kong) Limited is regulated by the Securities and Futures Commission of Hong Kong under the Hong Kong laws, which differ from Australian laws. HSBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited is regulated by the Financial Services Authority of the United Kingdom as it was previously known before 1 April 2013, under the laws of the United Kingdom, which differ from Australian laws;
- in Bermuda by HSBC Global Asset Management (Bermuda) Limited, of 37 Front Street, Hamilton, Bermuda which is licensed to conduct investment business by the Bermuda Monetary Authority;
- in Chile: Operations by HSBC's headquarters or other offices of this bank located abroad are not subject to Chilean inspections or regulations and are not covered by warranty of the Chilean state. Further information may be obtained about the state guarantee to deposits at your bank or on www.sbif.cl;
- in Colombia: HSBC Bank USA NA has an authorized representative by the Superintendencia Financiera de Colombia (SFC) whereby its activities conform to the General Legal Financial System. SFC has not reviewed the information provided to the investor. This document is for the exclusive use of

Follow us on:

LinkedIn: HSBC Asset Management

Website: assetmanagement.hsbc.com

- in France, Belgium, Netherlands, Luxembourg, Portugal, Greece, Finland, Norway, Denmark and Sweden by HSBC Global Asset Management (France), a Portfolio Management Company authorised by the French regulatory authority AMF (no. GP99026);
- in Germany by HSBC Global Asset Management (Deutschland) GmbH which is regulated by BaFin (German clients) respective by the Austrian Financial Market Supervision FMA (Austrian clients);
- in Hong Kong by HSBC Global Asset Management (Hong Kong) Limited, which is regulated by the Securities and Futures Commission. This video/content has not be reviewed by the Securities and Futures Commission;
- in India by HSBC Asset Management (India) Pvt Ltd. which is regulated by the Securities and Exchange Board of India;
- in Italy and Spain by HSBC Global Asset Management (France), a Portfolio Management Company authorised by the French regulatory authority AMF (no. GP99026) and through the Italian and Spanish branches of HSBC Global Asset Management (France), regulated respectively by Banca d'Italia and Commissione Nazionale per le Società e la Borsa (Consob) in Italy, and the Comisión Nacional del Mercado de Valores (CNMV) in Spain;
- in Malta by HSBC Global Asset Management (Malta) Limited which is regulated and licensed to conduct Investment Services by the Malta Financial Services Authority under the Investment Services Act;
- in Mexico by HSBC Global Asset Management (Mexico), SA de CV, Sociedad Operadora de Fondos de Inversión, Grupo Financiero HSBC which is regulated by Comisión Nacional Bancaria y de Valores;
- in the United Arab Emirates, Qatar, Bahrain & Kuwait by HSBC Global Asset Management MENA, a unit within HSBC Bank Middle East Limited, U.A.E Branch, PO Box 66 Dubai, UAE, regulated by the Central Bank of the U.A.E. and the Securities and Commodities Authority in the UAE under SCA license number 602004 for the purpose of this promotion and lead regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority. HSBC Bank Middle East Limited is a member of the HSBC Group and HSBC Global Asset Management MENA are marketing the relevant product only in a sub-distributing capacity on a principal-to-principal basis. HSBC Global Asset Management MENA may not be licensed under the laws of the recipient's country of residence and therefore may not be subject to supervision of the local regulator in the recipient's country of residence. One of more of the products and services of the manufacturer may not have been approved by or registered with the local regulator and the assets may be booked outside of the recipient's country of residence.
- in Peru: HSBC Bank USA NA has an authorized representative by the Superintendencia de Banca y Seguros in Perú whereby its activities conform to the General Legal Financial System - Law No. 26702. Funds have not been registered before the Superintendencia del Mercado de Valores (SMV) and are being placed by means of a private offer. SMV has not reviewed the information provided to the investor. This document is for the exclusive use of institutional investors in Perú and is not for public distribution;
- in Singapore by HSBC Global Asset Management (Singapore) Limited, which is regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. The content in the document/video has not been reviewed by the Monetary Authority of Singapore;
- In Switzerland by HSBC Global Asset Management (Switzerland) AG. This document is intended for professional investor use only. For opting
 in and opting out according to FinSA, please refer to our website; if you wish to change your client categorization, please inform us. HSBC
 Global Asset Management (Switzerland) AG having its registered office at Gartenstrasse 26, PO Box, CH-8002 Zurich has a licence as an asset
 manager of collective investment schemes and as a representative of foreign collective investment schemes. Disputes regarding legal claims
 between the Client and HSBC Global Asset Management (Switzerland) AG can be settled by an ombudsman in mediation proceedings. HSBC
 Global Asset Management (Switzerland) AG is affiliated to the ombudsman FINOS having its registered address at Talstrasse 20, 8001 Zurich.
 There are general risks associated with financial instruments, please refer to the Swiss Banking Association ("SBA") Brochure "Risks Involved
 in Trading in Financial Instruments;
- in Taiwan by HSBC Global Asset Management (Taiwan) Limited which is regulated by the Financial Supervisory Commission R.O.C. (Taiwan);
- in Turkiye by HSBC Asset Management A.S. Turkiye (AMTU) which is regulated by Capital Markets Board of Turkiye. Any information here is
 not intended to distribute in any jurisdiction where AMTU does not have a right to. Any views here should not be perceived as investment
 advice, product/service offer and/or promise of income. Information given here might not be suitable for all investors and investors should be
 giving their own independent decisions. The investment information, comments and advice given herein are not part of investment advice
 activity. Investment advice services are provided by authorized institutions to persons and entities privately by considering their risk and return
 preferences, whereas the comments and advice included herein are of a general nature. Therefore, they may not fit your financial situation
 and risk and return preferences. For this reason, making an investment decision only by relying on the information given herein may not give
 rise to results that fit your expectations.
- in the UK by HSBC Global Asset Management (UK) Limited, which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority;
- and in the US by HSBC Global Asset Management (USA) Inc. which is an investment adviser registered with the US Securities and Exchange Commission.
- In Uruguay, operations by HSBC's headquarters or other offices of this bank located abroad are not subject to Uruguayan inspections or regulations and are not covered by warranty of the Uruguayan state. Further information may be obtained about the state guarantee to deposits at your bank or on www.bcu.gub.uy.

NOT FDIC INSURED ♦ NO BANK GUARANTEE ♦ MAY LOSE VALUE

Copyright © HSBC Global Asset Management Limited 2025. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, on any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of HSBC Global Asset Management Limited

Content ID: D048484_v2.0; Expiry date: 30.06.2026.